arXiv:1512.08261v1 [math.NT] 27 Dec 2015

CANTOR POLYNOMIALS AND THE FUETER-PÓLYA THEOREM

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

Abstract. A packing polynomial is a polynomial that maps the set $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ of lattice points with nonnegative coordinates bijectively onto $\mathbf{N}_0$. Cantor constructed two quadratic packing polynomials, and Fueter and Pólya proved analytically that the Cantor polynomials are the only quadratic packing polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to present a beautiful elementary proof of Vsemirnov of the Fueter-Pólya theorem. It is a century-old conjecture that the Cantor polynomials are the *only* packing polynomials on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$.

1. Storing and packing lattice points

Let $\mathbf{Z}^m$ be the group of lattice points in $\mathbf{R}^m$. Let $\mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be the set of nonnegative integers, and let

$$\mathbf{N}_0^m = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbf{Z}^m : x_i \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m\}$$

be the additive semigroup of m-dimensional nonnegative lattice points.

In computer science there is the problem of saving and retrieving matrices and other multi-dimensional data in linear memory. We can think of computer memory as an infinite sequence of "storage boxes" numbered $0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Suppose that we want to store the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ in memory. A simple procedure would be to choose an easily computed one-to-one function $F : \mathbf{N}_0^2 \to \mathbf{N}_0$, and store the matrix element $a_{i,j}$ in the memory box with the number $F(i, j)$. More generally, for any subset S of $\mathbf{Z}^m$, a one-to-one function from S into $\mathbf{N}_0$ is called a *storing function* on S. A function that maps S bijectively onto $\mathbf{N}_0$ is called a *packing function* on S. Computer scientists are interested in finding polynomial or other "elementary" storing and packing functions on S.

For example, let $m = 2$ and consider the function $C_1 : \mathbf{N}_0^2 \to \mathbf{N}_0$ that enumerates, from lower right to upper left, the nonnegative lattice points on the consecutive parallel line segments $x + y = k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus, $C_1(0, 0) = 0$, $C_1(1, 0) = 1$, $C_1(0, 1) = 2$, $C_1(2, 0) = 3$, $C_1(1, 1) = 4$, $C_1(0, 2) = 5$, $C_1(3, 0) = 6$, $\ldots$. For $k \geq 0$, the number of nonnegative lattice points (x, y) with $x + y < k$ is $1 + 2 + \cdots + k = k(k + 1)/2$. It follows that $C_1(k, 0) = k(k + 1)/2$. If $(x, y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11C08, 11A07, 05B40, 12E10.

Key words and phrases. Cantor polynomials, packing polynomials, lattice point enumeration.

Supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

and $x + y = k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(x,y) &= C_1(k,0) + y = C_1(x+y,0) + y \\ &= \frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2} + y \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x+3y). \end{aligned}$$

The function $C_2 : \mathbf{N}_0^2 \to \mathbf{N}_0$ that enumerates, from upper left to lower right, the nonnegative lattice points on the consecutive parallel line segments $x + y = k$ is

$$C_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(3x+y). = C_1(y,x).$$

The functions $C_1(x,y)$ and $C_2(x,y)$ are called the *Cantor packing polynomials*.

The classical applications of polynomial packing functions are due to Cauchy and Cantor. Cantor [2, page 494], [3, page 107] used the quadratic polynomials $C_1(x,y)$ and $C_2(x,y)$ to prove that the set $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ is countable. Earlier, to reduce double sums to single sums, Cauchy [4, Part 1, Chapter 6, Theorem 6] used the equivalent identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_i b_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k$$

where

$$c_k = \sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i+j=k}}^{k} a_{k-i}b_i = a_k b_0 + a_{k-1}b_1 + a_{k-2}b_2 + \cdots + a_0 b_k.$$

The following simple argument implies that a packing function cannot be linear.

Lemma 1. *Let $\ell, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ with $m \geq 2$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbf{N}_0^m$, let $\min(x) = \min(x_i : i = 1, \ldots, m)$, and let S be the set of all lattice points $x \in \mathbf{N}_0^m$ such that $\min(x) \geq \ell$. No linear polynomial is a storing function on S.*

Proof. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$, consider the linear polynomial

$$F(x) = F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_m x_m + c.$$

Let $A = \max(|a_i| : i = 1, \ldots, m)$. Recall the Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, m$, let $e_i = (\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2}, \ldots, \delta_{i,m}) \in \mathbf{N}_0^m$.

Suppose that F is a storing function on S, that is, $F(S) \subseteq \mathbf{N}_0$ and F is one-to-one on S. If $x \in S$, then $x + e_i \in S$ and

$$F(x + e_i) - F(x) = a_i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and so $c = F(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Suppose that $F : S \to \mathbf{N}_0$ is a sorting function. Let $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$. If $x \in S$ and $\min(x) \geq \ell + A$, then $x + a_i e_j - a_j e_i \in S$ and

$$F(x + a_i e_j - a_j e_i) = F(x) + a_i a_j - a_j a_i = F(x).$$

Because F is one-to-one on S, it follows that $x = x + a_i e_j - a_j e_i$ and so $a_i e_j = a_j e_i$. This implies that $a_i = a_j = 0$ and so $a_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thus, the

storing function $F(x) = c$ is constant, which is absurd. This means that no linear polynomial can be a storing function on S. □

Conjecture. *The Cantor packing polynomials $C_1(x, y)$ and $C_2(x, y)$ are the* only *polynomial bijections from $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ to $\mathbf{N}_0$.*

This conjecture is nearly 100 years old. In 1923, Fueter and Pólya [6] obtained the first result about the uniqueness of packing polynomials in two variables. Using methods from analytic number theory, they proved that the Cantor polynomials are the unique *quadratic* polynomial packing functions from $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ to $\mathbf{N}_0$. In 1978, Lew and Rosenberg [8, 9] proved that no polynomial packing function on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ has degree three or four. It is not known if there exists a packing polynomial on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ of degree greater than four.

There exist many packing polynomials for lattice points of dimension $m \geq 3$. The simplest are constructed by composing Cantor polynomials. For example, the function $(x, y, z) \mapsto (C_1(x, y), z)$ is a bijection from $\mathbf{N}_0^3$ to $\mathbf{N}_0^2$, and so $(x, y, z) \mapsto C_1(C_1(x, y), z)$ is a packing polynomial on $\mathbf{N}_0^3$. There also exist packing polynomials on $\mathbf{N}_0^m$ that are not compositions of packing polynomials in lower dimensions. Much work has been done on this problem, e.g. [5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18].

In 2001, Vsemirnov [20, 21] gave a beautiful proof of the Fueter-Pólya theorem that uses only Gauss's law of quadratic reciprocity and Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. The purpose of this paper is to present Vsemirnov's proof.

2. Elementary proof of the Fueter-Pólya theorem

We begin with an exercise in elementary number theory.

Lemma 2. *If D and ℓ are nonzero integers and D is not a square, then there exists a prime p such that D is a quadratic non-residue modulo p and p does not divide ℓ.*

Proof. We can write

$$D = (-1)^{\alpha} 2^{\beta} m^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k} q_i$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ are distinct odd primes.

Suppose that $k = 0$. If $\beta = 0$, then $\alpha = 1$ and $D = -m^2$. Choosing a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1.$$

If $\beta = 1$, then $D = \pm 2m^2$. Choosing a prime $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\pm 2}{p}\right) = -1.$$

By Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, each of these congruence classes contains infinitely many primes. Choosing $p > \ell$, we obtain a prime p does not divide ℓ.

Suppose that $k \geq 1$. For every prime number such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, we have

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1.$$

Applying the multiplicativity of the Legendre symbol and quadratic reciprocity, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{q_i}{p}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{p}{q_i}\right).$$

Choose integers $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ such that

$$\left(\frac{r_1}{q_1}\right) = -1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{r_i}{q_i}\right) = 1 \qquad \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, k.$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there is an integer s such that

$$s \equiv 1 \pmod 8$$

and

$$s \equiv r_i \pmod{q_i} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

Moreover,

$$\left(s, 8\prod_{i=1}^{k} q_i\right) = 1.$$

If p is a prime number such that

$$p \equiv s \pmod{8\prod_{i=1}^{k} q_i} \tag{1}$$

then

$$\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right) = -1.$$

By Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, there are infinitely many primes p that satisfy the congruence (1). Choosing a prime p in this arithmetic progression such that $p > \ell$ completes the proof. □

We can now begin the proof of Fueter-Pólya theorem. There are four lemmas.

Lemma 3. *If $F(x, y)$ is a quadratic packing polynomial, then there exist nonnegative integers a, c, f and integers b, d, e such that*

$$a \equiv d \pmod 2$$

$$c \equiv e \pmod 2$$

and

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\left(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(dx + ey\right) + f. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, if $a = c = 0$, then $b \geq 1$.

Proof. Every quadratic polynomial $F(x, y)$ with complex coefficients can be written in the form (2). Because F is a function from $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ to $\mathbf{N}_0$, we have

$$a = F(2, 0) - 2F(1, 0) + F(0, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}$$

$$c = F(0, 2) - 2F(0, 1) + F(0, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}$$

and

$$f = F(0, 0) \in \mathbf{N}_0.$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbf{N}_0$, the inequalities

$$F(x,0) = \frac{a}{2}x^2 + \frac{d}{2}x + f \geq 0$$

and

$$F(0,y) = \frac{c}{2}y^2 + \frac{e}{2}y + f \geq 0$$

imply that a and c are nonnegative integers. The identities

$$F(1,0) - F(0,0) = \frac{a+d}{2} \in \mathbf{Z}$$

$$F(0,1) - F(0,0) = \frac{c+e}{2} \in \mathbf{Z}$$

imply that d and e are integers such that

$$a \equiv d \pmod 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad c \equiv e \pmod 2.$$

It follows that

$$F(1,1) = b + \frac{a+d}{2} + \frac{c+e}{2} + f \in \mathbf{N}_0$$

and so b is an integer.

If $a = c = 0$, then $b \neq 0$ because F is quadratic. For all $x \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$F(x,x) = bx^2 + \frac{d+e}{2}x + f \geq 0$$

and so $b \geq 1$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4. *If $F(x,y)$ is a quadratic packing polynomial of the form* (2)*, then the quadratic form*

$$Q(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}\left(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2\right)$$

is positive-definite on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$. Moreover, $a \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$.

Proof. The quadratic form $Q(x,y)$ is nonzero because the polynomial $F(x,y)$ is quadratic. Defining the linear form

$$L(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(dx + ey)$$

we can write

$$F(x,y) = Q(x,y) + L(x,y) + f$$

If $a \geq 1$ and $r > |d|/2$, then $Q(r,0) > |L(r,0)|$. If $c \geq 1$ and $s > |e|/2$, then $Q(0,s) > |L(0,s)|$. If $a = c = 0$ and $r > (|d| + |e|)/2$, then $b \geq 1$ by Lemma 3, and $Q(r,r) > |L(r,r)|$. Thus, there exists $(r,s) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ such that

$$Q(r,s) > |L(r,s)|. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to show that Q is nonnegative-definite. For all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ and $t \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$F(xt,yt) = Q(xt,yt) + L(xt,yt) + f = Q(x,y)t^2 + L(x,y)t + f.$$

If $Q(x,y) < 0$ for some $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, then $F(xt,yt) < 0$ for all sufficiently large t, which is absurd. Therefore, $Q(x,y) \geq 0$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$.

Suppose that $Q(u,v) = 0$ for some $(u,v) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. For all $t \in \mathbf{N}_0$, we have

$$F(ut,vt) = Q(u,v)t^2 + L(u,v)t + f = L(u,v)t + f = wt + f$$

where $w = L(u,v)$ is a positive integer because $F(ut,vt)$ is nonconstant and nonnegative for $t \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Choosing $(r,s) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ that satisfies inequality (3), we have

$$F(rw,sw) = Q(r,s)w^2 + L(r,s)w + f = wm + f$$

where

$$m = Q(r,s)w + L(r,s) \geq Q(r,s) - |L(r,s)| > 0.$$

Because F is one-to-one on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ and

$$F(um,vm) = wm + f = F(rw,sw)$$

it follows that

$$(um,vm) = (rw,sw)$$

and so

$$0 = Q(u,v)m^2 = Q(um,vm) = Q(rw,sw) = Q(r,s)w^2 > 0$$

which is absurd. Therefore, the quadratic form $Q(x,y)$ is positive-definite on $\mathbf{N}_0^2$. This implies that

$$a = 2Q(1,0) \geq 1$$

and

$$c = 2Q(0,1) \geq 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5. *If $F(x,y)$ is a quadratic packing polynomial of the form (2), then $b \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let $m \geq \max(2,|d|,|e|)$. If $b \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(dx + ey\right) + f \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^2 + 4xy + y^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(|d|x + |e|y\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^2 + xy - \frac{m}{2}\left(x+y\right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following inequalities:

$$F(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{2}(x+y)(x+y-m) + xy \tag{4}$$

and, for every positive integer k,

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^2 + \frac{k-1}{k}xy + \frac{1}{2k}(x-km)y + \frac{1}{2k}(y-km)x. \tag{5}$$

There are three cases.

Case 1. If $\max(x,y) \geq 25m$, then $x+y \geq 25m$. Applying inequality (4), we obtain

$$F(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{2}(x+y)(x+y-m) \geq \frac{1}{2}(25m)(24m) = 300m^2.$$

Case 2. If $\min(x,y) \geq 10m$, then $x+y \geq 20m$. Applying inequality (5) with $k = 10$, we obtain

$$F(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{2}(20m)^2 + \frac{9}{10}(10m)^2 = 290m^2.$$

Case 3. If $\min(x,y) \geq m$ and $x+y \geq 24m$, then, applying inequality (5) with $k = 1$, we obtain

$$F(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{2}(24m)^2 = 288m^2.$$

It follows that if $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ and $F(x,y) < 288m^2$, then

$$\max(x,y) < 25m$$

$$\min(x,y) < 10m$$

and

$$\min(x,y) < m \quad \text{or} \quad x+y < 24m,$$

Equivalently, the lattice point (x,y) must belong to exactly one of the following five sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : 0 \le x < m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le y < 25m\right\} \\
Z_2 &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : m \le x < 10m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le y < 24m - x\right\} \\
Z_3 &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : 10 \le x < 14m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le y < 10m\right\} \\
Z_4 &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : 14 \le x < 23m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le y < 24m - x\right\} \\
Z_5 &= \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : 23m \le x < 25m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le y < m\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, let N_i denote the number of lattice points in the set Z_i. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= 25m^2 \\
N_2 &= \frac{333}{2}m^2 + \frac{9}{2}m \\
N_3 &= 40m^2 \\
N_4 &= \frac{99}{2}m^2 + \frac{9}{2}m \\
N_5 &= 2m^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the number of nonnegative integers $n < 288m^2$ represented by the polynomial $F(x,y)$ with $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ is at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} N_i = 283m^2 + 9m < 288m^2$$

because $m \ge 2$. It follows that $F : \mathbf{N}_0^2 \to \mathbf{N}_0$ is not surjective, which is absurd. Therefore, $b \le 1$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 6. *If $F(x,y)$ is a quadratic packing polynomial of the form* (2)*, and if*

$$D = b^2 - ac$$

then

$$8aDF(x,y) = Du^2 - v^2 + r$$

where

$$u = 2ax + 2by + d \tag{6}$$

$$v = 2Dy + bd - ae \tag{7}$$

and

$$r = (bd - ae)^2 - Dd^2 + 8aDf. \tag{8}$$

Proof. This is an exercise in "completing the square." We have

$$\begin{aligned} 8aDF(x,y) - 8aDf &= 4D(a^2x^2 + 2abxy + acy^2 + adx + aey) \\ &= 4D\left((ax+by)^2 - Dy^2 + adx + aey\right) \\ &= 4D\left((ax+by)^2 + d(ax+by) - Dy^2 - (bd-ae)y\right) \\ &= D\left(4(ax+by)^2 + 4d(ax+by)\right) - \left(4D^2y^2 + 4D(bd-ae)y\right) \\ &= D\left(2ax+2by+d\right)^2 - \left(2Dy + bd - ae\right)^2 + \left(bd-ae\right)^2 - Dd^2 \\ &= Du^2 - v^2 + r - 8aDf. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We can now complete Vsemirnov's proof of the Fueter-Pólya theorem.

Theorem. *Every quadratic packing polynomial is a Cantor polynomial.*

Proof. We shall start by proving that $D = b^2 - ac$ is a square. Suppose not. Then $D \neq 0$. By Lemma 6, if x and y are integers, then there are integers $u = u(x,y)$, $v = v(y)$, and r such that

$$8aDF(x,y) = Du^2 - v^2 + r.$$

Because $8a \neq 0$ and D is not a square, we apply Lemma 2 with $\ell = 8a$ and obtain a prime number p such that D is a quadratic non-residue modulo p and $(8aD, p) = 1$. There is an integer s such that $(s,p) = 1$ and

$$8aDs \equiv r \pmod{p}.$$

Because $F(x,y)$ is a packing polynomial, there exist infinitely many lattice points $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ such that $F(x,y) \equiv s \pmod{p}$ and

$$Du^2 - v^2 + r = 8aDF(x,y) \equiv 8aDs \equiv r \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore,

$$Du^2 \equiv v^2 \pmod{p}.$$

If $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

$$D \equiv \left(vu^{-1}\right)^2 \pmod{p}$$

which is impossible because D is a quadratic non-residue modulo p. It follows that $u \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so $v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and

$$Du^2 - v^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

It follows that if $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ and $F(x,y) \equiv s \pmod{p}$, then

$$8aDF(x,y) = Du^2 - v^2 + r \equiv r \equiv 8aDs \pmod{p^2}.$$

Because $(8aD, p) = 1$, we see that the congruence $F(x,y) \equiv s \pmod{p}$ implies that $F(x,y) \equiv s \pmod{p^2}$. This means that there do not exist integers x and y such that $F(x,y) \equiv s+p \pmod{p^2}$, and so the polynomial $F(x,y)$ is not surjective from $\mathbf{N}_0^2$ onto $\mathbf{N}_0$, which is absurd.

Therefore, D is a square, hence $D = t^2$ for some nonnegative integer t. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t-b,a) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a(t-b)^2 + 2b(t-b)a + ca^2\right) \\ &= \frac{a}{2}(t^2 - b^2 + ac) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a and c are positive integers. By Lemma 4, the quadratic form $Q(x,y)$ is positive-definite for $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, and so $t - b < 0$. By Lemma 5, we have $0 \leq t < b \leq 1$ and so $b = 1$. Therefore, $1 - ac = b^2 - ac = t^2 \geq 0$ and so $0 < ac \leq 1$. This implies that $a = c = 1$, and so

$$F(x,y) = \frac{(x+y)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(dx + ey) + f$$

Moreover, $d \equiv a \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and $e \equiv c \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, that is, d and e are odd integers.

If $d = e$, then $F(x,y) = F(y,x)$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ and $F(x,y)$ is not one-to-one. Therefore, $d \neq e$. If $d > e$, then $d - e = 2g$ for some positive integer g, and

$$F(x,y) = \frac{(x+y)(x+y+e)}{2} + gx + f.$$

We have $e \neq 0$ because e is odd, and

$$F(0,-e) = F(0,0) = f.$$

Because $F(x,y)$ is a sorting function, we conclude that $e \geq 1$. Therefore, $F(x,y) \geq f$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, and so $f = 0$.

If $e \geq 3$, then for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ we have $x + y \geq 1$ and $F(x,y) \geq (1+e)/2 \geq 2$. This means that $F(x,y) \neq 1$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, which is absurd. Therefore, $e = 1$ and

$$F(x,y) = \frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2} + gx$$

for some positive integer g. We have $F(0,1) = 1$, $F(1,0) = 1+g$, and $F(x,y) \geq 3$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ with $x + y \geq 2$. If $g \geq 2$, then $F(x,y) \neq 2$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, which is absurd. Therefore, $g = 1$ and $F(x,y) = C_1(x,y)$ is the first Cantor polynomial.

Similarly, if $e > d$, then $F(x,y) = C_2(x,y)$ is the second Cantor polynomial. This completes the proof. □

3. Packing polynomials in sectors

For every positive real number α we construct the *real sector*

$$S(\alpha) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq y \leq \alpha x\}$$

and the *integer sector*

$$I(\alpha) = S(\alpha) \cap \mathbf{N}_0^2 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 : 0 \leq y \leq \alpha x\}.$$

The real sector $S(\alpha)$ is the cone with vertex at $(0,0)$ generated by the points $(1,0)$ and $(1,\alpha)$. A sector is called *rational* if α is a rational number and *irrational* if α is an irrational number. Recent work on Cantor polynomials has concentrated on packing polynomials in rational sectors.

Theorem 1 (Nathanson [15])**.** *Let r and s be relatively prime positive integers such that $1 \leq r < s$ and r divides $s - 1$. Let $d = (s-1)/r$. The polynomials*

$$F_{r/s}(x,y) = \frac{r(x-dy)^2}{2} + \frac{(2-r)x + (dr - 2d + 2)y}{2}$$

and

$$G_{r/s}(x,y) = \frac{r(x-dy)^2}{2} + \frac{(r+2)x - (2d+s+1)y}{2}.$$

are quadratic packing polynomials for the integer sector $I(r/s)$. Moreover, for $s \geq 2$, the polynomials

$$F_{1/s}(x,y) = \frac{(x-(s-1)y)^2}{2} + \frac{x+(3-s)y}{2}$$

and

$$G_{1/s}(x,y) = \frac{(x-(s-1)y)^2}{2} + \frac{3x+(1-3s)y}{2}$$

are the unique quadratic packing polynomials for the integer sector $I(1/s)$.

Recent work by Stanton [19] and Brandt [1] has determined explicitly the quadratic packing polynomials for all rational sectors.

It is an open problem to understand sorting and packing polynomials on irrational sectors.

References

[1] M. Brandt, *Quadratic packing polynomials on sectors of* $\mathbf{R}^2$, arXiv:1409.0063, 2014.

[2] G. Cantor, *Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre*, Math. Annalen **46** (1895), 481–512.

[3] ———, *Contributions to the Founding of the Theory of Transfinite Numbers*, Dover Publications, New York, 1952, Translated, and provided with an introduction and notes, by Philip E. B. Jourdain.

[4] A.-L. Cauchy, *Cours d'analyse de l'École Royale Polytechnique*, Cambridge Library Collection, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009, Reprint of the 1821 original, Previously published by Cooperativa Libraria Universitaria Editrice Bologna, Bologna, 1992.

[5] H. L. Fetter, J. H. Arredondo R., and L. B. Morales, *The diagonal polynomials of dimension four*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **34** (2005), no. 2, 316–334.

[6] R. Fueter and G. Pólya, *Rationale Abzählung der Gitterpunkte*, Vierteljschr Naturforsch. Gesellsch. Zurich **58** (1923), 380–386.

[7] J. S. Lew, *Polynomials in two variables taking distinct integer values at lattice-points*, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), 344–346.

[8] J. S. Lew and A. L. Rosenberg, *Polynomial indexing of integer lattice-points. I. General concepts and quadratic polynomials*, J. Number Theory **10** (1978), no. 2, 192–214.

[9] ———, *Polynomial indexing of integer lattice-points. II. Nonexistence results for higher-degree polynomials*, J. Number Theory **10** (1978), no. 2, 215–243.

[10] L. B. Morales, *Diagonal polynomials and diagonal orders on multidimensional lattices*, Theory Comput. Syst. **30** (1997), no. 4, 367–382.

[11] ———, *Packing polynomials on multidimensional integer sectors*, preprint, 2014.

[12] L. B. Morales and J. S. Lew, *An enlarged family of packing polynomials on multidimensional lattices*, Math. Systems Theory **29** (1996), no. 3, 293–303.

[13] L. B. Morales and J. H. Arredondo R., *A family of asymptotically $e(n-1)!$ polynomial orders of* $\mathbf{N}^n$, Order **16** (1999), no. 2, 195–206 (2000).

[14] L. B. Morales and A. Sánchez-Flores, *Erratum: "Diagonal polynomials and diagonal orders on multidimensional lattices" [Theory Comput. Syst.* **30** *(1997), no. 4, 367–382]*, Theory Comput. Syst. **33** (2000), no. 1, 107.

[15] M. B. Nathanson, *Cantor polynomials for semigroup sectors*, J. Algebra Appl. **13** (2014), no. 5, 1350165, 14.

[16] A. L. Rosenberg, *Data graphs and addressing schemes*, J. Comput. System Sci. **5** (1971), 193–238.

[17] ———, *Storage mappings for extendible arrays*, Current Trends in Programming Methodology, vol. IV (R. T. Yeh, ed.), PrenticeHall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1978, pp. 263–311.

[18] A. Sánchez-Flores, *A family of $(n-1)!$ diagonal polynomial orders of* $\mathbf{N}^n$, Order **12** (1995), no. 2, 173–187.

[19] C. Stanton, *Packing polynomials on sectors of* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Integers **14** (2014), Paper No. A67, 13.

[20] M. A. Vsemirnov, *Two elementary proofs of the Fueter-Pólya theorem on matching polynomials*, Algebra i Analiz **13** (2001), no. 5, 1–15.

[21] ———, *Errata: "Two elementary proofs of the Fueter-Pólya theorem on matching polynomials" (Russian) [Algebra i Analiz* **13** *(2001), no. 5, 1–15; MR1882861 (2003a:11021)]*, Algebra i Analiz **14** (2002), no. 5, 240.

Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, NY 10468
E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu